

THE CORPORATE CONCEPT SERIES

TODAY'S OPPORTUNITY IS TOMORROW'S SCAM

A PRACTICAL GUIDE TO RECOGNISING FALSE PROMISES
IN BUSINESS, CAREERS AND DIGITAL LIFE

*Believe in possibility.
Verify the proposition.
Protect the decision.*

DR MICHAEL GEORGE PASCHAL

STRATEGIC ADVISOR & AUTHOR

Publication Notes

Today's Opportunity Is Tomorrow's Scam

Book 1 of The Corporate Concept Series

A Practical Guide to Recognising False Promises in Business, Careers and Digital Life

By Dr Michael George Paschal

Strategic Advisor & Author

© 2026 Dr Michael George Paschal. All rights reserved.

Author Review Edition | October 2026.

Prepared as an original educational manuscript for the author's review. The author may revise the text before any public publication.

This publication provides general information, not legal, investment, banking or cybersecurity advice. Names and examples in illustrative scenarios are fictional. Verify regulatory requirements and reporting channels directly with official authorities.

Executive Insights

The Corporate Concept Series

This publication is part of "The Corporate Concept Series."

The Corporate Concept Series is a collection of focused e-books, each presented in around 40 pages, exploring a specific idea or challenge in business, leadership, strategy, technology or professional decision-making.

Each publication introduces its subject through clear explanations, practical perspectives, illustrative situations and questions for reflection. The emphasis is on helping readers understand the concept, recognise its relevance and consider how it applies to their own circumstances.

Written for professionals, entrepreneurs, executives and business leaders, these concise publications are designed to be read in a short sitting and revisited when needed. Each offers enough context to encourage thoughtful judgement while keeping attention on the central idea.

The series provides a starting point for deeper enquiry, discussion and informed action, connecting focused business concepts with the decisions people face in practice.

Focused concepts. Concise reading. Practical perspectives.

About the Author



Dr Michael George Paschal

Ph.D. | MBA | A.M.I.E.(Mech.) | M.E.T.(Lond.) | Dip.Mech.Engg.

Strategic Advisor & Author

His professional background spans more than four decades in engineering, business development, capital-project management and corporate strategy. His international business exposure across multiple industries brings together technical understanding and a commercial perspective on growth, partnerships and enterprise value.

His interests include strategic growth, market entry, industrial technology, green energy, flow control, data centres and executive advisory. He examines how organisations assess new possibilities, connect their capabilities to market needs and establish a sound basis for commercial commitment.

His writing explores business strategy, leadership and the relationship between engineering capability and enterprise value. He places particular emphasis on looking beyond an attractive presentation to understand the evidence, responsibilities, incentives and risks behind a proposal.

Through **The Corporate Concept Series**, Dr Paschal shares practical perspectives intended to help professionals, entrepreneurs and business leaders evaluate opportunities, question assumptions and make informed decisions.

Today's Opportunity Is Tomorrow's Scam is also informed by an incident the author personally experienced. Applying the principles discussed in this book helped him avoid harm and strengthened his motivation to share those lessons with others.

*Pursue worthwhile opportunities with confidence supported by careful scrutiny.
Make verification a condition of commitment.*

Contents

About the Author	3
A Note to Reader	5
The Cost of Believing Too Soon	6
How a Convincing Scam Is Built	8
The Psychology of the Yes	10
The Digital Theatre of Credibility	12
Executive Recruitment and Advisory Mandates	14
Investments, Startups and Joint Ventures	16
Projects, Procurement and Green-Energy Deals	18
Publishing, Training and Prestigious Invitations	20
When Networks Become a Shortcut to Trust	22
The VERIFY Framework	24
The 24-Hour Decision Protocol	26
If You Suspect a Scam - or Have Already Paid	28
Building a Fraud-Resistant Organisation	30
Closing: Opportunity Deserves Scrutiny	32
We Look for Opportunities. Scammers Look for Opportunities Within Them.	33
Appendix A: Twenty Questions Before You Say Yes	36
Appendix B: The Opportunity Screening Scorecard	37
Appendix C: Professional Responses You Can Use	38
Appendix D: One-Page Opportunity Register	39
Official Resources and Further Reading	40

A Note to Reader

This e-book is informed by an incident the author personally experienced—one in which applying the principles discussed in these pages helped him avoid harm. The experience reinforced a strategic lesson: the quality of an opportunity depends on the evidence supporting it and the consequences of being wrong.

An attractive proposal can create momentum before its foundations have been tested. Promised returns, persuasive assurances and pressure to act can shift attention away from unanswered questions. Strategic judgement requires the discipline to interrupt that momentum, examine the assumptions and establish what must be verified before making a commitment.

The author's experience demonstrated the practical value of this discipline. Putting the book's principles into action helped protect his interests and strengthened his conviction that verification belongs at the centre of decision-making.

For leaders and professionals, this has implications beyond a single transaction. A decision may expose capital, confidential information, organisational authority and reputation at the same time. The depth of scrutiny should therefore reflect the scale of that exposure. Commitments should advance as evidence strengthens, with clear conditions for proceeding, pausing or withdrawing.

The author shares this experience to encourage a deliberate approach to opportunity: define the potential value, identify the exposure, test the claims and retain control over the decision. The purpose is to help readers pursue worthwhile opportunities with confidence grounded in evidence.

The central principle is simple: **make verification a condition of commitment.**

01 / PERSPECTIVE

The Cost of Believing Too Soon

Why discernment is now a core business skill.

A promising approach often arrives at exactly the right moment: a senior role when a professional is considering a transition, a technology partnership when a business needs growth, or a financing proposal when a project is searching for capital. The message appears tailored. The sender seems knowledgeable. The invitation feels like recognition.

The first mistake is not feeling interested. Interest is entirely reasonable. The mistake is treating interest as evidence.

There is a crucial distinction between **uncertainty** and **deception**. A legitimate new company can fail. A genuine recruiter may not secure a hire. A startup may have a compelling plan but little cash. These situations involve commercial risk. Fraud involves deliberate misrepresentation or exploitation. From the recipient's perspective, however, both can initially look alike: big ambition, incomplete information and future-oriented promises.

The correct response is neither cynicism nor enthusiasm without limits. It is **disciplined openness**: remain available to a good proposition while requiring proof proportionate to the risk. A coffee conversation needs little diligence. A board appointment, equity agreement, advance payment, passport copy or access to a company's network deserves much more.

Consider the difference between three statements. 'We would like to explore a partnership' expresses an intention. 'We have board approval and a funded project' is a factual claim that can be checked. 'Pay an onboarding

fee today to secure your position' is a request for action that can expose you to loss. Treat each statement according to its type.

Every proposal has a **proof gap**: the distance between what is said and what can be independently established. Successful decision-makers spend their attention narrowing that gap. They do not attempt to eliminate all business risk; they eliminate preventable ambiguity.

Boardroom question: What would I need to see before recommending this opportunity to someone whose money or reputation I am responsible for?

Dr Michael George Paschal

02 / ANATOMY

How a Convincing Scam Is Built

A five-stage pattern behind many deceptive propositions.

Deceptive approaches are not always crude. Many are carefully sequenced so that each small agreement makes the next one easier. Understanding the sequence helps identify the moment when reasonable exploration becomes avoidable exposure.

1. Attraction

The offer is designed around a desire: greater responsibility, prestige, income, market access, a publishing contract, an exclusive investment or a prestigious introduction. It may use precise industry language to signal insider familiarity. Specific language can demonstrate research; it does not prove truth.

2. Borrowed credibility

The sender may invoke a known company, a senior title, an official-looking website, an impressive investor, a conference or a mutual connection. A logo or online profile is easy to copy. Even a real company's registration does not authenticate every person who claims to represent it.

3. Accelerated relationship

A few agreeable calls become 'we are aligned'. An unsolicited proposal is framed as a special invitation. The counterpart may offer compliments or claim that a board has already chosen you. Emotional momentum begins to substitute for evidence.

4. The pivot

The real request appears: a processing charge, travel deposit, bank details, identity documents, access to contacts, confidential plans, an introduction to funders, a remote-access application or a transfer to a different payment account. This is the moment to stop and verify the underlying proposition and the recipient.

5. Pressure or disappearance

Questions are evaded. The deadline gets shorter. A new complication requires more money. Or communication ceases once value has been extracted. Some schemes continue with elaborate explanations to draw out further payments.

Pattern recognition is not a verdict. One unusual feature may have an innocent explanation. A cluster of inconsistency, secrecy, shifting terms and pressure is a reason to escalate verification or decline.

Practical habit: Write down what you were promised, what has been proved, and what you are now being asked to surrender. The three columns often reveal the turning point.

03 / DECISION-MAKING

The Psychology of the Yes

Why experienced people can still be persuaded.

Fraud does not depend on a lack of intelligence. It exploits ordinary features of human decision-making. Senior professionals can be especially vulnerable to highly customised invitations because the approach may closely resemble the genuine opportunities they have received throughout their careers.

Authority: A person claims to be a founder, director, fund manager or senior recruiter. Titles invite deference, especially when accompanied by corporate documents. Authority must be linked to an independently verified person and a specific right to act.

Scarcity: 'Only one position remains' or 'our allocation closes tonight' turns a complex decision into a race. Genuine time constraints exist, but legitimate counterparties should be able to explain the deadline and tolerate basic due diligence.

Reciprocity: The sender first gives praise, free information or a small payment. The recipient feels obliged to respond with trust, information or financial commitment. A favour is not security.

Social proof: Testimonials, group chats, photographs and references create the impression that others have checked the proposal. Ask whether the references are independent and whether the claims can be verified without going through the promoter.

Commitment: After several calls or a public announcement, walking away can feel embarrassing. Yet a decision to stop after new facts emerge is a sign of judgment, not failure.

Hope: A role or partnership may fit an important personal ambition. That fit can obscure obvious gaps. The stronger the emotional appeal, the more valuable a written verification process becomes.

A simple countermeasure is a **cooling-off rule** for consequential decisions. Do not transfer funds, release documents or issue public endorsements during an emotionally charged conversation. Record the offer; verify it independently; return with questions.

Pause question: If the same facts were presented without the compliments, urgency or impressive title, would the proposition still make commercial sense?

Dr Michael George Paschal

04 / IDENTITY

The Digital Theatre of Credibility

Profiles, domains, messages and synthetic media can all mislead.

Today an apparent business presence can be assembled quickly. A polished profile, a registered domain, an executive photograph, a downloadable deck and professional-sounding messages may create the impression of a mature organisation. None, standing alone, establishes legitimacy.

An online profile is **a lead, not proof**. It can help identify a person, but identity should be confirmed through an independent channel. A website may be new because a real company is new; it may also be new because the story was recently invented. A web domain can resemble a genuine company's domain while adding one letter or changing the ending.

Treat the following as distinct questions: Does the organisation exist? Does the person exist? Does that person actually work for or represent the organisation? Does the organisation possess the funding, approvals and authority described? Is this specific transaction authorised? Each answer requires different evidence.

For important approaches, use an independently obtained phone number or corporate email rather than the contact details supplied in the message. Where appropriate, confirm the representative directly with the company's published switchboard, legal department or known executive. Examine the history and substance of the organisation, not just the appearance of its pages.

Voice cloning and AI-generated images can make impersonation more persuasive. Do not accept a video call or voice message as the only form of authentication for large payments, sensitive information or high-impact changes. Agree in advance on an independent callback procedure and a second approver.

A change of bank details deserves a separate, trusted-channel confirmation, even when it comes within an existing email thread. Compromised email accounts can produce messages that look entirely authentic.

Rule: The more polished the pitch, the more calmly you should examine the infrastructure behind it. Presentation is evidence of presentation; it is not evidence of performance.

05 / CAREERS

Executive Recruitment and Advisory Mandates

Protecting the value of experience, networks and reputation.

A senior leadership opportunity often begins informally. A search consultant makes contact. A founder asks for strategic input. Conversations move quickly to title, geography, equity and market-entry plans. For a seasoned executive, the greatest exposure may be not an immediate monetary loss but the unpaid transfer of commercial intelligence and relationships.

A credible exploratory process should eventually establish the hiring entity, the individual making the offer, funding status, decision authority, role scope and proposed commercial terms. Until then, treat 'Chief Strategic Officer', 'board advisor' and similar titles as **proposals**, not appointments.

Eight terms to clarify before accepting

1. **Legal employer or client:** exact entity name, registered address and jurisdiction.
2. **Mandate:** strategic preparation, execution responsibilities and measurable outputs.
3. **Authority:** who can approve budgets, sign contracts and represent the company.
4. **Compensation:** retainer, salary, reimbursement, payment dates and currency.
5. **Equity:** percentage or number of units, vesting, dilution, tax and documentation.

6. **Reporting:** board, founder, CEO or another authorised decision-maker.
7. **Expenses:** who pays for travel, visas, research and third parties.
8. **Exit:** termination notice, ownership of work and protection of confidential information.

Illustrative case: The impressive title

A professional receives an unsolicited offer to lead expansion for a new overseas energy company. The founder communicates warmly and proposes a high-profile title. The professional is then asked to introduce customers and prepare a three-year market plan before the company's incorporation, funding or engagement agreement can be verified. The risk is not proof of fraud. It is **unpriced work and unbounded exposure**.

A prudent response is a limited discovery phase, an NDA where appropriate, written scope, staged deliverables and confirmation of the client's capacity to pay. Do not permit a prospective mandate to become an open-ended free consultancy.

Never pay a recruiter or alleged employer merely to release salary, appointment papers or access to a job. Legitimate hiring processes may have real third-party costs in some circumstances, but every unusual fee needs independent validation and a clear contractual basis.

06 / CAPITAL

Investments, Startups and Joint Ventures

Separate a compelling story from an investable proposition.

The investment world has its own vocabulary of opportunity: exclusive access, first-mover advantage, strategic investor, pre-money valuation, projected returns, funding round and guaranteed exit. Language can make an unverified proposal sound like a transaction already in progress.

Begin with the basics. What is the legally identified entity? Who owns it? Who are the directors or authorised managers? What has actually been invested? Is the business operating, developing or merely planned? Which documents support its revenue, assets, permits and intellectual property?

For a joint venture, establish each party's contribution and the sequence in which contributions become enforceable. A statement such as 'we will bring international funding' is different from committed capital held under documented terms. A letter of intent can express interest while remaining largely nonbinding. Read the document, not its title.

Do not allow a projected return to displace a cash-flow explanation. Ask where revenue originates, which risks could prevent it and who receives payment first. Investors should also confirm whether the product and promoter are appropriately regulated. The Securities and Exchange Board of India warns against guaranteed returns, unregistered entities and high-pressure investment selling.

Illustrative case: The guaranteed green project

An investor is shown a renewable-energy proposal with an attractive project return, impressive engineering graphics and a 'government-

supported' label. The promoter requests a reservation payment before sharing permits, land rights or the financing structure. Those missing documents are precisely what the investment decision requires. A serious proposition can be reviewed without an irreversible early transfer to an unverified account.

Investor's test: If all optimistic assumptions were replaced by conservative ones, would the economics still be understandable, the counterparties still identifiable and the downside still manageable?

Registration, when verified, proves a legal fact about an entity. It does not prove the specific investment is sound, funded or authorised.

07 / INDUSTRIAL BUSINESS

Projects, Procurement and Green-Energy Deals

Why technical plausibility is not commercial proof.

Engineering professionals assess feasibility, specifications, delivery schedules and project economics. Yet a technically sophisticated pitch can conceal an elementary commercial weakness: the buyer may not exist as described, the tender may not be authorised, or the intermediary may have no legitimate mandate.

High-value industrial opportunities often involve several layers of representation: project owner, developer, EPC contractor, EPCM consultant, technology licensor, channel partner and sourcing agent. The primary diligence task is to establish **who has the right to procure, who can sign, who will pay and which conditions must occur first.**

Watch for documents that appear official but are impossible to confirm through the issuing organisation: award letters, purchase orders, tender notices, payment instructions, bank guarantees, inspection certificates and project approvals. Never validate a document only by contacting the number printed on that document.

A minimum opportunity file

- Customer and contracting entity identified by legal name.
- Representative's mandate independently confirmed.
- Project location, status, approvals and funding stage documented.
- Technical scope and commercial terms separated.
- Payment milestones linked to objective deliverables.

- Bank instructions verified through an independent callback.
- Confidential drawings and customer lists shared only on a need-to-know basis.
- Advance payments, security deposits or tender fees supported by a verified official process.

Illustrative case: The urgent procurement award

A vendor receives a large purchase order for specialist valves from a previously unknown intermediary. To 'activate the contract', the vendor must send a refundable registration fee within a day. The order has an attractive value but no independently confirmed end customer, commercial contact or verified payment path. The right response is to pause and contact the purported principal independently.

Commercial discipline: Technical due diligence tests whether a project can work. Counterparty due diligence tests whether the buyer and the transaction are real. Both are necessary.

08 / REPUTATION

Publishing, Training and Prestigious Invitations

Not every paid service is fraudulent, but every offer needs clear terms.

Authors, speakers, advisers and established professionals are often approached with publication offers, awards, conference invitations, media profiles and advisory memberships. These can be legitimate opportunities. The danger lies in allowing prestige to conceal the underlying business model.

A traditional publishing offer and a paid publishing service are different commercial arrangements. A traditional publisher typically finances publication and pays the author under agreed royalty terms; a fee-based service typically charges the author for specified work. Neither label should be accepted without reading the contract. A service is not automatically a scam merely because it charges a fee. Misrepresentation, undisclosed charges and false distribution claims are the issue.

For a publishing proposal, ask who owns the rights, who pays for editing and printing, how royalties are calculated, where the book will actually be distributed, how statements will be audited and what happens on termination. For events, ask who is organising, which audience is independently verifiable and what costs or sponsorship commitments are required.

A 'prestigious award' sold to anyone willing to buy a package may have little professional value. A 'featured interview' may be paid advertising. There is nothing inherently wrong with advertising when it is disclosed and priced honestly. The problem begins when advertising is presented as editorial recognition.

Illustrative case: The guaranteed bestseller

An author receives a personalised email promising bestseller status, international bookstore placement and immediate acceptance of the manuscript, provided a substantial fee is paid before a certain date. The offer should be treated as a service proposal whose measurable deliverables must be demonstrated, not as proof of literary selection or future sales.

Reputation test: Will the opportunity still have value once every marketing adjective is removed and only the contractual deliverables remain?

Dr Michael George Paschal

09 / RELATIONSHIPS

When Networks Become a Shortcut to Trust

An introduction is a starting point, not a guarantee.

Professional networks are powerful because trusted introductions lower the cost of discovery. They can also create a false sense of certainty. A mutual contact may know that two people have met without knowing whether the claimed project, authority or funding is genuine.

Distinguish **reference**, **endorsement** and **verification**. A reference confirms a connection. An endorsement expresses an opinion. Verification checks a specific claim against reliable evidence. None automatically substitutes for the others.

An acquaintance can also be impersonated. A message that seems to come from a known person could originate from a compromised account. For unusual payment or data requests, move to an established phone number or another previously trusted channel.

Be especially careful when a new acquaintance asks for introductions to customers, banks, officials or high-value contacts. A professional network is an asset built over years. Its value should be protected with the same care as confidential business information. Ask what is being offered in return, what permissions are in place and whether the recipient is ready for an introduction.

In group chats and professional communities, 'member' does not mean 'vetted counterparty'. Membership may reflect interest in a subject, not independent authentication. Group administrators can enforce conduct

standards, but commercial diligence remains the responsibility of the participating parties.

An introduction protocol

First confirm the identity and purpose of the requesting party. Next obtain a short, written description of the opportunity and the intended use of the introduction. Finally, ask the person being introduced for consent before sharing their details.

Principle: Respect the relationship, verify the transaction.

Dr Michael George Paschal

10 / METHOD

The VERIFY Framework

Six questions to ask before you commit.

Good judgment is more reliable when it becomes repeatable. The following **VERIFY** framework is designed as a practical screening method, not a guarantee of fraud detection. Use it for employment, consulting, investment, procurement and partnership proposals.

V - Validate identity

Who exactly is contacting you? Confirm their name, role and affiliation through an independently obtained channel. Look for consistent history and contact details. Do not rely solely on an emailed document, video call or social-media badge.

E - Examine the entity

What legal entity would be responsible? Check incorporation and current status through the relevant official register. Match the name in the proposal, contract, invoice and bank beneficiary. Entity registration is necessary evidence in many settings, but it is not sufficient.

R - Review the claims

Which claims are material? Ask for supporting documents for funding, projects, investors, licenses, customer orders and approvals. Confirm important documents with the supposed issuer through a trusted channel. Separate demonstrated facts from plans and assumptions.

I - Inspect the incentives and terms

What does each party gain, now and later? Make compensation, duties, equity, confidentiality, expense allocation and termination explicit. A deal that looks attractive only while its costs remain unspecified needs more work.

F - Follow the funds and information

Who receives money, data and access? Check the account name, payment purpose and approvals. Avoid unusual payment methods, rushed changes of bank details and requests for sensitive credentials. Share only the information necessary for the current stage.

Y - Yield to independent review

Before a consequential commitment, let a second person challenge the logic. That may be counsel, an accountant, a trusted director, a technical expert or someone uninvolved in the excitement of the offer. A genuine proposition should improve, not collapse, under appropriate questions.

Decision rule: If a material claim cannot be independently verified, treat it as unverified - not as true simply because it has been repeated.

11 / ACTION

The 24-Hour Decision Protocol

A repeatable routine for urgent and unsolicited offers.

Many losses occur because the decision process is compressed. The goal of this protocol is not to delay every deal for exactly one day. It is to create a mandatory pause before irreversible action when a proposal is unsolicited, time-pressured or otherwise unusual.

First 10 minutes: Record

Save the original message, full sender address, links, phone number, documents and precise request. Note how you were approached and what was promised. Do not click unfamiliar links or install software merely to 'verify' an offer.

Next 30 minutes: Classify

Is the request for a meeting, introduction, confidential information, money, access, an endorsement or a binding signature? Identify the **maximum exposure**, not just the immediate amount. A free meeting may be harmless; a free project strategy handed to an unknown party may not be.

Next few hours: Check independently

Research the entity through official registries, verify the individual through an independent channel, inspect the economics and examine contradictory evidence. Search for complaints or impersonation notices, remembering that online allegations can also be inaccurate.

Before commitment: Put it in writing

Request a clear document covering identity, authority, scope, consideration, conditions and exit. Where necessary, obtain legal or financial review. Do not use a document supplied by the promoter as the sole confirmation of the promoter's authority.

End state: Decide visibly

Record one of four outcomes: **Proceed**, **Proceed with conditions**, **Pause pending evidence**, or **Decline**. The third option is often the most valuable. It preserves a legitimate relationship while refusing premature exposure.

A legitimate, time-sensitive transaction may not allow 24 hours. In that case, shorten the timeline but not the key verification controls. If the deal cannot survive any independent check, the lost opportunity may be the risk avoided.

Practical rule: No irreversible step while the identity, authority, consideration or recipient remains materially unclear.

12 / RESPONSE

If You Suspect a Scam - or Have Already Paid

Act quickly, preserve evidence and avoid a second loss.

Suspicion is a reason to stop the transaction; it is not a reason to panic or make unsupported public accusations. If money or information may have been compromised, speed matters.

Stop the flow. Do not send further payments, identity documents or access credentials. Do not install 'recovery' software or pay an alleged investigator who promises to retrieve money for a fee. Recovery scams can target people who have already suffered a loss.

Protect accounts. Contact the affected bank or payment provider using its official channel, explain what happened and follow its fraud reporting instructions. If credentials were shared, change passwords from a trusted device, revoke suspicious sessions and strengthen multifactor authentication where available. If business systems are involved, notify the responsible IT/security team promptly.

Preserve the record. Keep original emails with headers where possible, transaction IDs, account details, contracts, screenshots, call notes, web addresses and a timeline. Do not alter or delete evidence merely because it is embarrassing.

Report through official channels. In India, the National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal is cybercrime.gov.in; the financial cyber-fraud helpline is **1930**. Notify your bank immediately as well. For other countries, consult the relevant police, consumer-protection or financial regulator websites. Reporting is important, but recovery is not guaranteed.

Be precise with language. A failed startup, contract dispute and criminal fraud are not automatically the same thing. If there is uncertainty, say what is known: 'The offer remains unverified', 'The payment request is inconsistent with the agreed terms', or 'We have reported a suspected fraud for investigation.' Seek qualified advice before making public allegations.

Review the control failure. Was the issue a missing callback, authority check, contract review, payment approval or document policy? Correct the process without humiliating the person who raised the concern or was targeted.

Important: Different types of transfers and claims are governed by different rules. Do not assume every reported transaction qualifies for reimbursement. Immediate reporting improves the chance that the relevant institution can respond.

13 / LEADERSHIP

Building a Fraud-Resistant Organisation

Good controls protect the business without destroying agility.

A company cannot depend on the instincts of one careful executive. It needs practical systems that make verification easy and exceptions visible. The strongest controls are designed around where valuable assets leave the organisation: payments, customer information, intellectual property, authority and reputation.

Five controls for management

1. **Counterparty onboarding:** Establish legal identity, representative authority and beneficial ownership where appropriate before significant commitments.
2. **Dual approval:** Require independent review for new beneficiaries, unusual advances, confidential disclosures and material changes in bank details.
3. **Verified callbacks:** Confirm payment instructions through a known contact route, not a number included in the suspicious message.
4. **Stage gates:** Limit access and spending until each project stage has evidence, accountability and a defined commercial purpose.
5. **Speak-up culture:** Make it acceptable to challenge a prestigious name, urgent request or senior executive's instruction when the facts do not align.

Create a brief **opportunity register** for material inbound proposals: date, source, entity, expected value, required commitments, red flags, verifica-

tion completed, approver and next action. This turns scattered messages into a manageable pipeline and reveals recurring patterns.

A board should ask not only how many opportunities the organisation is pursuing, but how many have passed minimum due diligence. Growth without screening can turn business development into an uncontrolled transfer of value.

At the same time, avoid treating every new or small company as suspect. Early-stage enterprises often lack mature websites, long trading histories or large balance sheets. Apply proportionate safeguards: limited pilots, staged fees, clear ownership, references and contractual milestones.

Leadership test: Could a junior employee halt an unusual payment request even if it appeared to come from the CEO? If not, the organisation has a design flaw.

14 / CLOSING REFLECTION

Closing: Opportunity Deserves Scrutiny

Trust is not weakness. Unexamined trust is exposure.

The modern marketplace rewards speed. New ventures form quickly. International introductions arrive instantly. Artificial intelligence can produce convincing content at little cost. Yet the foundations of a sound decision have not changed: identifiable people, credible evidence, understandable economics, written obligations and accountable execution.

The phrase *Today's opportunity is tomorrow's scam* should be read as a warning against premature certainty, not as a rejection of ambition. Tomorrow can also turn today's small introduction into a trusted partnership, today's idea into a viable enterprise and today's calculated risk into enduring value. The difference is the discipline applied between the first message and the final commitment.

Do not be ashamed to ask basic questions. Do not be rushed into surrendering judgment. Do not confuse a person who speaks confidently with an organisation that can perform. And do not close yourself to opportunity simply because deception exists.

A good opportunity can withstand questions. A worthwhile relationship can survive a pause. A serious partner respects boundaries.

Believe in possibility. Verify the proposition. Protect the decision.

We Look for Opportunities. Scammers Look for Opportunities Within Them.

Every opportunity begins with a possibility: a new customer, a better job, a promising investment, a valuable partnership or access to a growing market.

We assess what that possibility could create. A scammer assesses what our interest in it could make us willing to do.

This difference matters. While we examine the proposed transaction, the scammer may be examining our ambitions, pressures, assumptions and decision-making process. The opportunity attracts our attention; our response reveals where we might be vulnerable.

The Opportunity Behind the Opportunity

A business seeking international expansion may welcome an introduction to a potential overseas buyer. A professional seeking advancement may respond eagerly to an attractive employment offer. An entrepreneur facing a funding shortage may give serious attention to someone promising access to investors.

These are legitimate ambitions. They also create openings for manipulation.

A scammer does not always need to invent a desire. The desire already exists. The task is to attach a convincing promise to it, establish apparent credibility and move the target towards a commitment before independent scrutiny takes place.

The strategic question therefore extends beyond “What could I gain?” It also asks: “What could someone extract from my willingness to pursue this?”

Opportunities Within Opportunities

What the Other Party May Be Seeking

Money is one possible objective. An apparent opportunity may also provide access to confidential documents, customer information, identity records, internal systems or the authority of a respected organisation.

Even an introduction can have value. A trusted professional who forwards a proposal may unintentionally lend credibility to people whose claims have never been verified.

Before proceeding, identify everything the proposed relationship could expose:

Capital: payments, deposits, fees or financial guarantees.

Information: commercial plans, identity documents or banking details.

Access: introductions, account permissions or entry into internal systems.

Authority: signatures, approvals or permission to represent an organisation.

Reputation: endorsements, public association or the use of a respected name.

An opportunity should be evaluated against the full exposure it creates.

An Illustrative Scenario: The Attractive Buyer

Consider a fictional manufacturer approached by someone claiming to represent a large overseas buyer. The proposed order is substantial. The correspondence appears professional, and the discussion includes technical specifications and delivery requirements.

The manufacturer sees a potential customer and a route into a new market. The supposed buyer then introduces a condition: before the order can proceed, the manufacturer must pay a registration or certification fee to a designated intermediary.

Opportunities Within Opportunities

That request changes the decision. The manufacturer must now verify the buyer's identity, the representative's authority, the purpose of the fee and the intermediary's relationship to the transaction. Contact details supplied by the representative should be checked against independently obtained sources.

A fee alone does not establish fraud. However, the promised order cannot serve as evidence that the fee is legitimate. The manufacturer's interest in winning business should support careful investigation, not replace it.

Control the Sequence of Commitment

A persuasive proposal can encourage a large commitment before enough evidence exists to justify it. A stronger approach uses stages. Begin with limited exposure. Verify identity and authority before sharing sensitive information. Establish commercial substance before accepting significant obligations. Resolve material inconsistencies before advancing.

At each stage, ask: What new evidence justifies the next commitment? This creates a practical boundary between exploring a possibility and becoming exposed to it.

The discipline must continue throughout the relationship. A verified contact can introduce an unverified intermediary. A reasonable discussion can develop into an unreasonable payment request. Earlier confidence should never provide automatic approval for a new demand.

Make Room to Walk Away

The more time we invest in an opportunity, the harder it can become to abandon it. Meetings, negotiations and internal expectations can create pressure to continue even when doubts emerge. Set withdrawal conditions early. Unverifiable authority, unexplained payment instructions, resistance to independent checks or unresolved contradictions should trigger a pause.

The decision to withdraw should depend on the evidence available today. Time already spent cannot make an unsupported proposal more credible.

The Strategic Principle

We pursue opportunities to create value. Scammers may pursue the access and commitment that our ambitions make possible. Effective judgement requires attention to both.

Evaluate the opportunity—and examine the opportunity your participation creates for the other party.

Appendix A: Twenty Questions Before You Say Yes

Use these prompts for a first conversation or due-diligence file.

Identity and authority

What is the exact legal name of the contracting entity?

In which country and jurisdiction is it registered?

What official registration details can I verify independently?

Who is the authorised signatory, and how is authority documented?

Can I confirm your role using a publicly listed company contact?

Substance of the proposition

What specific problem does this opportunity solve?

What exists today, rather than being planned?

Which permits, approvals or contracts are material to success?

What independent evidence supports the most important claims?

What would cause the opportunity to fail?

Commercial terms

Who is paying whom, for what and on what date?

Which obligations arise before any compensation or benefit?

What is the full cost, including travel, taxes and third parties?

If equity is offered, what is the documented basis, vesting and dilution?

What remedies and exit rights are available?

Risk and decision

What information or access am I expected to share?

Why is the stated deadline necessary?

Can payment details be confirmed through a trusted callback?

Who can provide a genuinely independent reference?

Which essential claim remains unverified?

Use: Mark each answer as Verified, Documented but unverified, Promised, or Not answered. Do not treat the categories as equivalent.

TOOLKIT / 02

Appendix B: The Opportunity Screening Scorecard

A conversation aid, not a mathematical fraud detector.

Rate each dimension **0** = **not established**, **1** = **partially established**, or **2** = **independently established**. Total possible: 12.

Dimension	0	1	2
Person's identity and authority	Unclear	Asserted	Confirmed independently
Legal entity	Unknown	Documents supplied	Officially checked
Material claims	Unsupported	Partial evidence	Key claims verified
Terms and obligations	Vague	Drafted	Reviewed and clear
Funds and beneficiary	Unclear	Stated	Independently verified
Independent references	None	Connected only	Credible and independent

Interpretation

- **0-5:** High uncertainty. Do not make consequential commitments.
- **6-9:** Progress only with defined safeguards and outstanding checks.
- **10-12:** Better documented; still assess business, legal and execution risks.

Override conditions: Stop or escalate regardless of score if the request involves credentials, a suspicious payment path, impersonation indicators, unverifiable authority or pressure to bypass core controls.

Score: _____ / 12 | Next evidence required: _____

Decision: **Proceed** / **Conditional** / **Pause** / **Decline**

Reviewer: _____ Date: _____

A high score does not mean a deal is profitable, safe or free of fraud; it means the selected checks have been better documented.

Appendix C: Professional Responses You Can Use

Firm language that keeps the door open to genuine proposals.

1. Identity verification

Thank you for reaching out. Before we proceed, please share the full legal name of the organisation, your official designation and a corporate contact through which your authority may be independently verified. This is our standard due-diligence procedure.

2. Early-stage business proposal

The concept is interesting and merits a structured discussion. To evaluate the opportunity responsibly, I would welcome a short note covering the legal entity, current funding status, decision-makers, project maturity and proposed commercial framework.

3. Advisory role and unpaid work

I would be pleased to explore strategic fit in an initial discussion. Any substantive market-entry plan, introductions or implementation work should, however, follow an agreed scope, confidentiality provisions and commercial terms.

4. Payment request

We do not make advance payments or change beneficiary details based solely on email or messaging instructions. Please provide the contractual basis and allow us to verify the recipient independently through our normal process.

5. Unreasonable deadline

We understand the proposed timeline. Because the decision involves material obligations, our identity, authority and commercial checks must be completed before commitment. If that timing is not workable, we will respectfully decline.

6. Ending a doubtful conversation

Thank you for the discussions. At this stage, we have not been able to independently establish the information required for a commercial decision. We will not proceed further unless the outstanding evidence becomes available. Tone matters: Focus on process and facts. You do not need to accuse anyone of fraud to protect yourself.

TOOLKIT / 04

Appendix D: One-Page Opportunity Register

Keep an auditable record before the conversation becomes an obligation.

Opportunity title: _____

Date received: _____ **Source:** _____

Entity / jurisdiction: _____

Person / role / callback route: _____

Opportunity type: Job / Advisory / Investment / JV / Supply / Other

Stated value or benefit: _____

What is requested now: _____

Maximum exposure: Money / Data / Network / Reputation / Time

Key claims to verify: _____

Evidence received: _____

Independent checks completed: _____

Red flags / inconsistencies: _____

Conditions before proceeding: _____

Decision and approver: _____

Next review date: _____

Record-keeping tip: Save an original copy of the approach and supporting documents alongside the register. Keep access restricted where the contents are confidential.

Official Resources and Further Reading

Public guidance for verification and reporting; URLs checked in October 2026.

India - National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal

Report suspected cybercrime at <https://cybercrime.gov.in/>. For suspected financial cyber-fraud, the published helpline is 1930. Contact your bank or payment provider immediately through an official channel.

India - Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI)

Investor guidance on recognising scams, guaranteed returns and unregistered promoters: <https://investor.sebi.gov.in/spot-any-scam.html> and <https://investor.sebi.gov.in/beware-of-investment.html>.

India - Ministry of Corporate Affairs (MCA)

Use the official MCA portal, <https://www.mca.gov.in/>, to search company/ LLP information and access master-data services. Registered existence is a starting point, not verification of funding or individual authority.

India - Reserve Bank of India (RBI)

The RBI's banking guidance explains why unauthorised electronic transactions should be reported to the bank promptly and that liability depends on the circumstances: <https://www.rbi.org.in/>.

United States - Federal Trade Commission (FTC)

Consumer resources on business-opportunity, job and online-task scams: <https://consumer.ftc.gov/scams>. Use the official, current website for any authority mentioned above. These links are offered as starting points, not endorsements of any particular opportunity. Editorial note: This is an educational manuscript drafted for author review. Nothing in it constitutes personalised legal, investment or cybersecurity advice.